

# Math 555 Homework # 3 Solutions

A confident Zoidberg

October 29, 2008



*Here are the solutions to homework # 3! Enjoy! ROCK ON!*

- 2 Suppose  $A$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ . We must show that  $A$  is closed in  $X$ . Since  $A$  is closed in  $Y$ , there exists a closed set  $F$  in  $X$  for which  $A = F \cap Y$ . Thus, as the intersection of two closed sets in  $X$ ,  $A$  is closed.
- 3 Corey recalls discussing this one in class, and declines to type out solutions on it.
- 6 (a) Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ . We must show that  $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$ . So let  $x \in \bar{A}$ , and let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . But  $A \subseteq B$ , and so  $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, by theorem 17.5,  $x \in \bar{B}$ .
- (b) Corey recalls writing a complete solution on the board in class of this one.
- (c) Let  $x \in \cup_{\alpha} \bar{A}_{\alpha}$ . Then for some  $\alpha$ , we have  $x \in \bar{A}_{\alpha}$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Thus, by theorem 17.5, we have  $U \cap A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $U \cap (\cup A_{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$ . So again by Theorem 17.5,  $x \in \overline{\cup A_{\alpha}}$ .

We construct an example where equality fails—this will not be difficult since infinite unions of closed sets need not be closed. Thus, we take  $A_n = [-1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$ . Thus,  $\bar{A}_n = A_n$  since  $A_n$  is closed for all  $n$ . But the numbers  $\pm 1$  are not in  $A_n$  for any  $n$ , and thus  $\pm 1 \notin \cup \bar{A}_n$ .

On the other hand,  $\cup A_n$  has both  $\pm 1$  as a limit point, since every neighborhood around either of these points intersects some  $A_n$  at some point other than  $\pm 1$ , and thus intersects  $\cup A_n$  at some point other than  $\pm 1$ . Since  $\overline{\cup A_n} = \cup A_n \cup (\cup A_n)'$ , we know that both  $\pm 1 \in \overline{\cup A_n}$ . So this is an example where  $\overline{\cup A_n} \supsetneq \cup \bar{A}_n$ .

- 11 Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff spaces, and let  $(a, b) \neq (x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Then one of the following is true:  $a \neq x$  or  $b \neq y$ . Suppose without loss of generality (since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff) that  $a \neq x$ . Then there exists open neighborhoods  $U_a$  and  $U_x$  of  $a$  and  $x$  respectively, where  $U_a \cap U_x = \emptyset$ . Let  $V_b$  and  $V_y$  be any neighborhoods of  $b$  and  $y$ . Then the open sets  $U_a \times V_b \cap U_x \times V_y = \emptyset$ , since any elements in such an intersection would have  $X$  coordinate common to the sets  $U_a$  and  $U_x$ , of which there are no common elements.
- 12 Let  $Y \subset X$ , a Hausdorff space. Let  $x \neq y \in Y$ . Then since  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  as well, and  $X$  is Hausdorff, then there exists neighborhoods  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  of  $x$  and  $y$  (respectively) which are disjoint. Then the sets  $U_x \cap Y$  and  $U_y \cap Y$ , which are neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $Y$  are also disjoint.
- 20 Corey sort of thinks we talked about this one in class, but encourages you all to ask if you have any questions. ROCK ON!